

ETHICAL PUBLISHING PRACTICE AND BEST PRACTICE GUIDELINES
JANUS. ESTUDIOS SOBRE EL SIGLO DE ORO (ISBN: 2254-7290)

Editorial ethics

Janus. Estudios sobre el Siglo de Oro is committed to promoting ethical conduct in accordance with the principles published by the Ethics [Committee on Publication Ethics](#) (COPE) for publishers of scientific journals. Authors, editors, and reviewers are expected to be aware of, and comply with best practice in publication ethics which, in essence, are:

Directors

- Are requested strictly to observe the published publication standards
- Should accept or reject an article based only on the importance, originality, clarity and relevance of the study
- Will respect privacy
- Should protect intellectual property
- Should keep the integrity of published content
- Should publish the contents promptly
- Should not discriminate on the basis of author's race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnicity or country of origin
- Should not disclose information about articles received to people other than the author or the evaluators themselves. The anonymity of reviewers and authors will be preserved.

Authors /Contributors

- *Responsibility of the content.* The authors are responsible for the content of their manuscript
- *Originality and plagiarism.* The authors are responsible for the originality of the manuscript sent to the journal. They should also cite sources used. Authors are requested strictly to observe rules that preclude simultaneous submission and/or duplicate publication.

Reviewers

- *Confidentiality.* The referees (through the *double-blind system*) undertake to maintain the confidentiality of the work received, without being able to discuss or show its content to other people.
- *Punctuality.* Once the paper is accepted, they will promptly deliver their report.
- *Objectivity.* The referees should justify their assessment of the article/paper reviewed, avoiding personal remarks, irony or sarcasm about the author.
- *Information.* If necessary, the referees will inform the direction of the journal in the case there exists any similarities or coincidences between the text received and other works.
- *Recommendation.* If referees notice omitted bibliography that could improve the article, they will mention it in their report.
- *Conflict of interests and disclosure.* Any reserved information obtained in the evaluation process will be considered confidential and may not be used for personal purposes. Should a referee had a conflict of interest, due to collaborative or competitive relationship with the author or the institution from which he comes, the referee must reject the proposed revision.