

***Janus. Estudios sobre el Siglo de Oro* ethics and malpractice statement**

Janus. Estudios sobre el Siglo de Oro establishes its ethical bases of publication according to the "Principles of Transparency and Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing", established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE):

http://publicationethics.org/files/Principles_of_Transparency_and_Best_Practice_in_Scholarly_Publishing.pdf

The editors of this journal will follow the conduct guidelines and protocol for journal editors established by COPE:

http://publicationethics.org/files/Code%20of%20Conduct_1.pdf

At all times of the evaluation process, the editor will take into account only the intellectual content of the articles without letting it affect aspects related to race, gender, religious beliefs, citizenship, ethnic origin or author's political philosophy in their decisions. The editor and journal staff may not disclose any information about submitted manuscripts to anyone other than their author, reviewers, potential reviewers, or others in charge of the publication process. Unpublished materials may not be used in the editor's own research without the author's express written consent. The Editorial Board, authors and external reviewers of the journal must accept and act in accordance with *Janus. Estudios sobre el Siglo de Oro* Ethics and malpractice statement

The journal is committed to fighting fraud and plagiarism according to the action guidelines and protocol established by COPE: <https://publicationethics.org/files/cope-retraction-guidelines-v2.pdf>

Directors

- Are requested strictly to observe the published publication standards
- Should accept or reject an article based only on the importance, originality, clarity and relevance of the study
- Will respect privacy
- Should protect intellectual property
- Should keep the integrity of published content
- Should publish the contents promptly
- Should not discriminate on the basis of author's race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnicity or country of origin
- Should not disclose information about articles received to people other than the author or the evaluators themselves. The anonymity of reviewers and authors will be preserved.

Authors /Contributors

- *Responsibility of the content.* The authors are responsible for the content of their manuscript
- *Originality and plagiarism.* The authors are responsible for the originality of the manuscript sent to the journal. They should also cite sources used. Authors are requested strictly to observe rules that preclude simultaneous submission and/or duplicate publication.

Reviewers

- *Confidentiality.* The referees (through the *double-blind system*) undertake to maintain the confidentiality of the work received, without being able to discuss or show its content to other people.
- *Punctuality.* Once the paper is accepted, they will promptly deliver their report.
- *Objectivity.* The referees should justify their assessment of the article/paper reviewed, avoiding personal remarks, irony or sarcasm about the author.
- *Information.* If necessary, the referees will inform the direction of the journal in the case there exists any similarities or coincidences between the text received and other works.
- *Recommendation.* If referees notice omitted bibliography that could improve the article, they will mention it in their report.
- *Conflict of interests and disclosure.* Any reserved information obtained in the evaluation process will be considered confidential and may not be used for personal purposes. Should a referee had a conflict of interest, due to collaborative or competitive relationship with the author or the institution from which he comes, the referee must reject the proposed revision.